PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE SEARCH FILE RECLASSIFICATION SERVICES

Vendor Being Evaluated					
US Patent Classification system (USPC) an Classification system (IPC). The primary p efficient prior art searches of patent informatoriects, which reorganize technological are matter into an optimal structure for classify of contracting with a vendor to provide sear vendor has been asked to distribute this que been selected by the vendor as the owner's	ffice (USPTO) has as one of its responsibilities the maintenance of the d also participates in the maintenance of the International Patent purpose of these classification systems is to help examiners conduct ation. The USPC and IPC are updated by performing reclassification eas of patented and pre-grant published application (PGPub) subject ing, searching, and retrieving patent information. We are in the process rech file reclassification services. As part of the solicitation, the potential estionnaire to a point-of-contact from their previous projects. You have representative to provide an evaluation of their past performance.				
Please answer all the questions. If a question does not apply, mark it "N/A" (Not Applicable). Verify that the name of the vendor is included at the top of each page. Return the completed questionnaire to Ms. Eileen M. McGlinn, no later than Thursday, March 9, 2006.					
By mail to: Ms. Eileen M. M	leGlinn				
U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office				
Office of Procur	ement – Mail Stop 6				
P.O. Box 1450					
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450					
Or by facsimile at (571) 273-028-	4.				
If you have any questions or concerns abou 272-6564. Your response is greatly apprecia	t this evaluation, feel free to contact Ms. Eileen M. McGlinn at (571) iated.				
Evaluator's Firm Name and Address					
Project					
Evaluator	_				
Signature	Date				
Telephone	Fax				
E-Mail	_				

Contract Details		
Period of Time Covered	to	
% of Contract Complete		
Contract Type (circle one) Fixed Price	Lump Sum Othe	er
Approximate Contract Value		
Brief summary of services provided:		
SEARCH FILE RECLASSIFICA	ATION VENDOR QUESTION	NAIRE
[echnical]	of alogaification for your magninement?	
Did the vendor have knowledge of the rules ☐ Yes ☐ Usually ☐ Sometimes	No	
· -		
What type of classification did this vendor d	0?	
Was the classification of technical document	ts?	
Yes Usually Sometimes	☐ No	
Did the vendor classify the documents to you	ur satisfaction?	
Yes Usually Sometimes	☐ Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No
Number contractor employees used per class	sification project.	
☐ 1-2 ☐ 3-5 ☐ 6-10	□ 11-15 □ 16+	
Comments:		
Dualite.		
Duality Did the vendor have an organized approach to	to the requirement?	
Yes Usually Sometimes	_ ^	□No
	Rarely without owner's persistence	□ 140
Did the vendor provide adequate, knowledge	<u> </u>	
Yes Usually Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	∐ No
Were concerns addressed promptly by the sta	aff and resolved?	
Yes Usually Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	□No

Comments:

_	stomer Service						
•	Did the vendor commit adequate resources in a timely fashion to the contract to meet the requirement and successfully solve variations to the requirement?						
	Yes Usually	Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No			
•	Did the vendor offer suggestions on improving the requirement?						
	☐ Yes ☐ Usually	Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No			
•	How satisfied were you with the work of the vendor?						
	☐ Very Satisfied ☐ Somewhat Satisfied ☐ Not Satisfied			ied			
a							
Co	mments:						
_							
	meliness of Performance	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
•		<u> </u>	as specified in the contract?				
	Yes Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No			
•	Did the vendor's manag	ement provide infor	mation in a timely manner?				
	Yes Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No			
Co	mments:						
_							
Bu	siness Relations						
•	Was the vendor's manag	gement accessible w	hen you needed to contact them?				
	☐ Yes ☐ Usually	Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No			
			Was the vendor proactive regarding concerns about issues that may impact contract performance?				
	·	e regarding concerr	ns about issues that may impact contract perf	ormance?			
•	·	ve regarding concern	ns about issues that may impact contract perf	formance?			
	Was the vendor proactiv	Sometimes	• •				
	Was the vendor proactiv	Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence				
	Was the vendor proactive Yes Usually Did the vendor commun Yes Usually	Sometimes icate well with you Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence and your firm's technical advisors?	□ No			
•	Was the vendor proactiv Yes Usually Did the vendor commun Yes Usually Would you hire this ven	Sometimes icate well with you Sometimes	☐ Rarely without owner's persistence and your firm's technical advisors? ☐ Rarely without owner's persistence	□ No			
•	Was the vendor proactive Yes Usually Did the vendor commun Yes Usually	Sometimes icate well with you Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence and your firm's technical advisors?	□ No			

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE